

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/518,859 | 12/17/2004 | Kazuhiko Inoue | 18493 | 5819 | |
| 23389 SCULLY SCC | 7590 04/16/200 OTT MURPHY & PRES | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA | | | LISTVOYB | LISTVOYB, GREGORY | |
| SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT | Y NY 11530 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | -, | 1796 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/16/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/518,859 | INOUE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| GREGORY LISTVOYB | 1796 | |

| | GREGORY LISTVOYB | 1796 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance of | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TW | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period is the date for purposes of telemining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | | lucing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | imely filed amendmen | at canceling the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii subiliilled iii a separate, t | intely filed afficialities | it canceling the | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | /Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1796 | | | | | |
| | , .,, | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Regarding the Applicant argument that Chen does not teach biodegradable thermoreversible resin, Chen discloses biodegradable thermoreversible polymer (see Abstact). 2. Regarding the Applicant argument that Onwumere, Kobavashi and Takadod do not disclose 'Diels-Alder type group', the above group does not require Diels-Alder reaction to be formed. Onwumere, Kobavashi and Takadod teach unsaturated compounds, required for Diels-Alder reaction. 3. Regarding Chinn, the applicant argues that the Reference does not teach biodegradable polymer. This is incorrect. Chino teaches polyeeters (see Column 5, line 60) and azlactone grops (Column 8, line 15), which are biodegradable polymer.